1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	April 23, 2019 - 10:12 a.m. REDACTED Concord, New Hampshire (For PUBLIC Use)
5	NHPLIC 3DEC'19r43:44
6	
7	RE: DG 19-068 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH
8	NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES - KEENE DIVISION:
9	Summer 2019 Cost of Gas.
10	
11	PRESENT: Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Michael S. Giaimo
12	
13	Sandy Deno, Clerk
14	
15	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth
16	Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities:
17	Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
18	Reptg. PUC Staff:
19	Mary Schwarzer, Esq. F. Anne Ross, Esq.
20	Stephen Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	REDACTED - For PUBLICUSE

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: DEBORAH GILBERTSON CATHERINE A. McNAMARA	
5		
6	Direct examination by Mr. Sheehan	9
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Frink	23
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Schwarzer	30
9	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Giaimo	38
10	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey	48
11		
12	* * *	
13		
14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
15	Ms. Schwarzer	55
16	Mr. Sheehan	57
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Summer 2019 Cost of Gas filing, including Direct	premarked
5		Testimony of Deborah Gilbertson and Catherine A.	
6		McNamara, including TOC,	
7		Tariff Pages, Schedules, and Appendix (03-29-19)	
8		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
9	2	Summer 2019 Cost of Gas filing, including Direct	premarked
10		Testimony of Deborah Gilbertson and Catherine A.	
11		McNamara, including TOC, Tariff Pages, Schedules,	
12		<pre>and Appendix (03-29-19) {CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}</pre>	
13	3	Calculation of Summer Period Cost of Gas Rate - Keene	premarked
14		Customers	
15	4	Typical Residential Heating Bill - Fixed Price Option	premarked
16		Program	
17	5	Revised Schedules to the Summer Period 2019 Original	premarked
18		Filing {CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
19		[MARKED FOR ID ONLY - Not entered as a full exhibit]	
20	C		7
21	6	RESERVED (For Revised filing, Redacted version)	7
22	7	RESERVED (For Revised filing, Confidential version)	7
23		Confidential version;	
24			

PROCEEDING 1 CMSR. BAILEY: Good morning. We're 2 here in Docket DG 19-068 to consider the 3 4 proposed rates for Liberty/Keene's Summer Cost 5 of Gas. 6 Before we get started, let's take 7 appearances. 8 MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty 9 10 Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas). 11 MS. SCHWARZER: Good morning. Mary 12 Schwarzer, here with Staff. 13 CMSR. BAILEY: Good morning. I see 14 the witnesses are prepositioned. Do we have 15 any preliminary matters that we need to 16 address? 17 MR. SHEEHAN: We do. First, the 18 Company has marked five exhibits. Exhibit 1 is 19 the original filing, the redacted version; 20 Exhibit 2 is the original filing, the 21 confidential version. You have on your table 22 Exhibits 3 through 5. The witnesses will 23 testify to two minor changes to the numbers. 24 And those changes are reflected in Exhibit 3,

which is a summary sheet of the case and the change in prices. Exhibit 4, similarly, is a document from the original filing that reflects the changed numbers, and that is the bill impact.

Prior to beginning the hearing this morning, Staff and the Company talked about replacing the entire filing, because, once you change one or two numbers, all those numbers flow through all the spreadsheets. So, we did not come today with the entire filing with the new numbers. Staff has been provided it informally. But we decided it would be probably best to get the Commission a replacement filing with those new numbers.

We happen to have a copy of that document. Ms. Gilbertson brought it with her today, that we copied and marked as "Exhibit 5" for ID. It does not have the confidential markings on it. So, it would probably be inappropriate to introduce it as a full exhibit. But we have it in front of us today so we can refer to it, to make sure we're referring to what will be the updated numbers.

So, we've marked Exhibit 5 for identification for those purposes.

I will be on the lookout, if we get into confidential information, that we flag it for the transcript.

The basis for the confidential treatment is Puc 201.06(a)(11), which is the rule that presumes certain information in cost of gas filings are confidential. As before, it doesn't require a ruling by the Commission. It's just a note that that's our basis for that.

And I think that's all I have for preliminaries.

CMSR. BAILEY: All right.

MS. SCHWARZER: And as an additional preliminary matter, I believe the Company has agreed to file updated testimony on Friday, the 26th, as well, consistent with the changes that have gone through the schedule. Is that correct?

CMSR. BAILEY: Are you going to do that or do you want to just mark the changes, because that's just a couple numbers, right?

```
1
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  The problem is, it's a
 2
         couple dozen numbers.
 3
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Oh, okay.
 4
                   MR. SHEEHAN: So, again, they all
 5
         flow through. So, once you change one or two
 6
         numbers, everything else changes. And we could
 7
         go through that today, but it would be a rather
         tedious process, and invariably we would miss
 8
9
         one or two.
10
                   So that, this is a relatively small
11
         filing, the plan would be to just update all
12
         the numbers, including in the testimony, and
13
         just file a new package as "revised".
14
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.
15
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And I guess maybe the
16
         thing to do is reserve an exhibit, Exhibit
17
         6 for -- that would be 6 and 7, Revised
18
         Confidential and Revised Redacted. What's the
19
         right order? The preferred order would be?
20
                   MS. DENO: Six (6) redacted, 7
21
         confidential.
22
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. Six (6)
23
         redacted, 7 confidential.
24
                         (Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7
```

```
1
                         reserved.)
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And that will be the
 2
 3
         testimony, but not the attachments, because the
         attachments are Exhibit 5?
 4
                   MR. SHEEHAN: No. It would be the --
 5
         it would be the whole filing. So, in effect,
 6
 7
         an updated Exhibits 1 and 2. Exhibit 5 should
         be put in the file drawer and not looked at
 8
9
         again, because it doesn't have the right
10
         confidential markings, so there's a risk that
11
         it gets in the wrong place, and --
12
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Right. But Exhibit 5
13
         is the same as the attachments in Exhibit 1 and
14
         2?
15
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And it will be refiled
         as "Exhibit 6" and "7"?
17
18
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Along with the
19
         testimony.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right.
21
                   Anything else before we get started
22
         with the witnesses?
23
                         [No verbal response.]
24
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right.
                                               Mr.
```

1	Patnaude, would you swear the witnesses please.
2	(Whereupon Deborah Gilbertson
3	and Catherine A. McNamara were
4	duly sworn by the Court
5	Reporter.)
6	CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Sheehan.
7	MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
8	DEBORAH GILBERTSON, SWORN
9	CATHERINE A. McNAMARA, SWORN
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SHEEHAN:
12	Q Ms. Gilbertson, your name and position with the
13	Company please?
14	A (Gilbertson) I'm Deborah Gilbertson. I'm the
15	Senior Manager of Energy Procurement.
16	Q And, Ms. Gilbertson, you filed testimony, along
17	with Ms. McNamara, in this docket, is that
18	correct?
19	A (Gilbertson) Yes.
20	Q And your testimony appears in Exhibit both
21	Exhibits 1 and 2, the filings that were made in
22	this matter, is that correct?
23	A (Gilbertson) Yes.
24	Q And putting aside the numbers that have changed

```
that I just mentioned, and we'll go over in the hearing, other than those number changes, do
```

- 3 you have any other corrections to your
- 4 testimony?
- 5 A (Gilbertson) No.
- 6 Q And again, subject to those numbers changing,
- 7 do you adopt your testimony here today this
- 8 morning?
- 9 A (Gilbertson) Yes.
- 10 Q Ms. McNamara, the same questions. Your name
- and position with the Company please?
- 12 A (McNamara) I'm Catherine McNamara. I'm a Rate
- 13 Analyst in Rates and Regulatory Affairs.
- 14 | Q And the testimony that's been marked as part of
- Exhibits 1 and 2, were you involved in the
- preparation of that testimony?
- 17 A (McNamara) Yes.
- 18 | Q And again, except for the numbers that we will
- be talking about, do you have any other
- 20 corrections to your portions of that testimony?
- 21 A (McNamara) No.
- 22 | Q And do you adopt that testimony here this
- 23 morning?
- 24 A (McNamara) Yes.

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

- 1 Q A couple preliminary questions before I turn it
 2 over. Exhibit 3 is a -- explain for us what
 3 Exhibit 3 is.
- (McNamara) Exhibit 3 was intended to show the 4 Α 5 change in the cost of gas rate that we're 6 proposing from what was originally filed in 1 7 and 2, Exhibits 1 and 2. So, you'll see that the "Cost of Gas Rate - Filed" is near the 8 bottom of the page, but "0.9886". We have a 9 10 "Lost and Unaccounted for rate impact", it was a reduction of "0.0008". And "Revised 11 Projected and Actual" -- "Revised 2018 Actual 12 13 and 2019 Projected Production Costs", and 14 that's based on the audit of 2018 Summer Cost 15 of Gas.
 - Ms. McNamara, let's walk through that then.
 So, the first number you pointed to, "0.9886",
 is the cost of gas that results from the normal
 calculations that the Company undertakes to get
 to a cost of gas?
- 21 A (McNamara) Correct.

16

17

18

19

20

22 Q And the two numbers that are below that, those 23 are the two changes that have prompted our 24 discussion this morning of the "changes to all

```
the numbers", is that correct?
 1
         (McNamara) Correct.
 2
    Α
 3
         The first one is a reduction, a modest
    Q
         reduction, titled "Lost and Unaccounted for
 4
 5
         [gas] impact". Can you explain what that is?
         (McNamara) So, the lost and unaccounted for
 6
 7
         rate wasn't updated in the original filing.
 8
         And it should have been updated based on I
         believe it's 17-048, and should have been
9
10
         "3.24 percent", versus "3.32 percent" that was
11
         included in the original filing.
12
         So, the change in that rate came out of the
    Q
13
         EnergyNorth rate case, 17-048?
14
         (McNamara) Correct.
15
         And it just wasn't carried through to this
    Q
16
         particular filing?
17
    Α
         (No verbal response).
18
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
19
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  I'm sorry.
20
                    WITNESS McNAMARA: Sorry.
21
                    MR. SHEEHAN: I'll ask the question
22
         again.
23
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
24
         There's a whisper in my ear that the change
```

1 actually flowed from last winter's cost of gas 2 hearing. But, regardless, there was a change 3 in the lost and unaccounted for rate that 4 initially didn't make it in the filing, and 5 this is where we are correcting that. Is that 6 correct? 7

(McNamara) Correct. Α

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Thank you. And the second change, titled Q "Revised 2018 Actual and 2019 Projected Production Costs", can you explain what that is?
- (McNamara) When we originally made the filing, Α we had received a draft Audit Report for the Summer of 2018. And in that draft Audit Report, Staff recommended that we reduce our production costs by \$186,694.

After speaking with Staff, working with them, the disallowed production costs were reduced to -- I have that number, hold on --"\$165,972", a change of \$20,722. And that change flows through both the beginning balance, because there we're talking about the '18 -- 2018 balance, and then it flows through the current calculation, because we use the

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
approved 2018 Summer Cost of Gas production
```

- costs as our basis for the 2019 Summer Cost of
- 3 Gas rate.
- 4 Q I think you explained that well. To make sure
- 5 we understand, so the 2018 Cost of Gas was
- 6 audited, as it usually is. Is that correct?
- 7 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 8 Q And the Audit Division prepared a draft Audit
- 9 Report available this spring?
- 10 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 11 | Q And our filing was based on the numbers in that
- 12 draft Audit Report?
- 13 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 14 | Q And between the draft Audit Report and our
- filing and today, the Final Audit Report came
- 16 out?
- 17 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 18 Q And that Final Audit Report had this \$20,000
- 19 change?
- 20 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 21 Q And it's flowing from the 2018 Summer Cost of
- Gas season?
- 23 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 24 | Q So, you had to -- this adjustment today is to

```
1
         pick up that $20,000 change from what happened
 2
         last year, and that $20,000 change is also
 3
         projected for this year. Is that right?
          (McNamara) Correct.
 4
    Α
 5
    Q
         And that all results in this "0.1193" change
 6
         today?
 7
          (McNamara) Correct.
    Α
         Ms. McNamara, can you testify to what are the
 8
    Q
9
         cost of gas -- what is the cost of gas rate
10
         that the Company is seeking approval for today?
11
         (McNamara) The Company is seeking a cost of gas
    Α
12
         rate for Keene Summer 2019 of "$1.1071" per
13
         therm.
14
         And that's the next to last number on Exhibit
15
         3, is that correct?
16
    Α
         (McNamara) That is correct.
17
         And can you explain the purpose of the last
    Q
         number on Exhibit 3, the "1.2358"?
18
19
          (McNamara) That is the maximum allowed increase
    Α
20
         of the cost of gas rate by up to 25 percent,
         that we cannot go over in our monthly filings
21
22
         for the cost of gas adjustment without coming
23
         in before the Commission again.
24
         Can you provide for us what the typical
```

```
1
         customer bill impact is as a result of this
 2
         rate?
 3
    Α
         (McNamara) Yes. That's on Exhibit 4, on
         Schedule I-2. And it's in Column (14), Lines
 4
 5
         39 and 40. The total annual bill impact for a
 6
         typical residential heating customer is
 7
         "$10.81" annually, or "2.9 percent".
         So, the $10 is not annually, but over the
 8
    Q
9
         course of the summer cost of gas?
10
         (McNamara) Sorry. Yes. Over the period.
    Α
11
         The six-month period?
12
         (McNamara) Yes.
    Α
13
         So, that's a $10 increase over the six months?
14
         (McNamara) Correct.
15
         And to be clear, you're reading from Exhibit 4,
    Q
16
         which is the updated schedule from the original
17
         filing?
18
    Α
         (McNamara) Correct.
19
    Q
         And we had pulled that out separately for
20
         today's hearing?
21
         (McNamara) Correct.
22
         And we've agreed to -- so, when we make the
23
         revised filing later this week, we will have
24
         this same version of what is now called
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson | McNamara]

```
"Exhibit 4"?
 1
 2
    Α
          (McNamara) Correct.
 3
         Is compressed natural gas, or CNG, included in
    Q
         this filing?
 4
 5
          (McNamara) Yes, it is.
 6
         And was it included in last year's filing?
    Q
 7
         (McNamara) Yes.
    Α
         Of course, the Company did not provide CNG last
 8
    Q
         summer, is that correct?
9
10
          (McNamara) Correct.
    Α
11
         How was that addressed with regard to last
    Q
12
         year's filing, the fact that it was in the cost
13
         of gas filing, but we did not sell any CNG?
14
          (McNamara) If I recall, it was handled in the
15
         monthly adjustments. And we pulled out the CNG
16
         piece of the estimate.
17
         So, over last summer, the customers did not pay
    Q
18
         for any CNG-related costs, is that correct?
```

- 19 (McNamara) That's correct. Α
- 20 And the plan, again this summer, we'll talk
- 21 about this later, but the plan is for the
- 22 Company to start serving CNG, is that correct?
- 23 (McNamara) Correct. Α
- 24 And so, the proposed costs for CNG are included

```
1
         in this filing, so that there can be a rate
 2
         that we can charge customers for CNG, is that
 3
         correct?
 4
         (McNamara) Correct.
    Α
         And, Ms. Gilbertson, you're nodding as well?
 5
    Q
 6
         (Gilbertson) Yes. I agree.
    Α
 7
         And should, for whatever reason, the Company is
    Q
 8
         not able to sell CNG this summer, we will
9
         follow the same process as last year and pull
10
         those costs out and reconcile them as we did
11
         last year, is that correct?
12
         (McNamara) Correct.
    Α
13
         And can you point to us where in the filing the
14
         Commission can find the itemization of the CNG
15
         costs?
16
    Α
         (Gilbertson) That would be in Schedule K.
17
         Page 29, I believe. If you look on Line 21, it
18
         breaks out the gallons of CNG for the customers
19
         that are in the plaza.
         Now, Ms. Gilbertson, you're looking at Bates
20
21
         029 of the original filing, is that correct?
22
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
23
         And what we've marked as "Exhibit 5" for
24
         identification has that same page?
```

```
1
    Α
          (Gilbertson) Yes.
         With the same numbers or different numbers?
 2
    Q
         (Gilbertson) Same numbers.
 3
    Α
         Because the CNG hasn't -- fuel costs have not
 4
    Q
 5
         changed, is that correct?
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
 6
    Α
 7
         And when we make the updated filing, this
    Q
 8
         exhibit -- or, Schedule K will also appear and
         will have the same numbers that we're looking
9
10
         at now, is that correct?
11
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
    Α
12
         Okay. And you were saying?
13
         (Gilbertson) Well, it's all broken out here,
14
         how much the plaza is going to use for CNG.
15
         And the "plaza" is the Company's --
16
    Α
         (Gilbertson) That's the Monadnock Plaza, and
17
         that's the area that's dedicated for the CNG.
18
    Q
         The first step of the conversion?
19
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
    Α
20
                    MR. SHEEHAN: Those are all the
21
         questions I have. Thank you.
22
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Ms. Schwarzer.
23
                    MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. There
24
         were some questions on discussion between the
```

Company and Staff about production costs related to the cost of gas, both in the summer and the winter. And rather than ask the witnesses those questions, I wanted to just put on the record that I believe the Company has agreed to provide a detailed accounting, a description of all Keene production costs that were included in that 17-048 docket rate

and those defined as "COG costs".

And I think the agreement has been that those will be provided on or before June 28th this year, along with draft tariff pages.

filing, but those defined as "delivery costs"

I'm going to ask Liberty to confirm that.

MR. SHEEHAN: We were presented with this request yesterday. And it's not

Ms. Schwarzer's fault, and I didn't get a clear answer to her, because we were trying to figure out how could we provide the information there.

We don't have all of that detail available with regard to Keene distribution costs, because those have been folded into the

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
1
         EnergyNorth costs. We will certainly provide
         the Commission and Staff with the numbers that
 2
 3
         we have available.
 4
                   But, again, this was something that
 5
         we learned yesterday, and we're still trying to
 6
         figure out exactly what information we can
 7
         provide to answer the question. So, --
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Well, June 28th might
 8
9
         give you sufficient time.
10
                   MR. SHEEHAN: It doesn't exist.
11
         don't have the ability to break those costs
12
         out, at least that's what we are trying to
13
         figure out now. Again, this is -- these are
14
         incredibly complicated spreadsheets, with
15
         thousands and thousands of lines of
16
         information. And we need time to figure out
17
         what we can get.
18
                   But it may be that we simply don't
19
         have the detail that's being requested.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: But you would have the
21
         production costs that are included in the Keene
22
         cost of gas broken out separately?
23
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
24
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Chairman Bailey, if
```

we could perhaps schedule a tech session,
perhaps in May, late, end of May/early June to
resolve this further. I do believe Staff is
very interested in pinning down the category
and the substantive definition. But certainly
want to be respectful of what the Company is
able to provide.

CMSR. BAILEY: Do you think you could do that, meet in a technical session to talk about it?

MR. SHEEHAN: And I don't think it has to be formal, it's up to you. But we can certainly get -- we will agree to meet to talk through all these issues.

And I understand, again from communications that started yesterday, that there's an interest on the part of Staff to put in tariff language more detail about what are production costs in Keene that aren't there now. And again, we're happy to have that conversation. There's pieces of that that are in cost of gas orders, in the rate case order that we can pull out, and hopefully work on an agreed tariff to incorporate all of that into a

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
1
         Keene production cost tariff, for lack of a
 2
         better word. And we'd be happy to sit down and
 3
         have those conversations.
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.
 4
 5
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you. There are
 6
         some questions about the CNG production. I'm
 7
         going to defer to our technical staff, Stephen
         Frink, for those questions.
 8
 9
                   MR. FRINK: Good morning.
10
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Off the record.
11
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
12
                        ensued.]
13
                   MR. FRINK: Okay. Good morning.
14
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. FRINK:
16
    Q
         It's not so much the CNG production costs as it
17
         is the supply costs and your supply dispatch
18
         plan. So, referring to Schedule K, which I
19
         believe is Exhibit 4? Exhibit 5. And you
20
         already covered this somewhat. The CNG
21
         deliveries are detailed on Lines 21 through 29.
22
              And do you know when -- when does the
23
         Company expert to convert the Keene customers
24
         to CNG this summer? Currently, they're not --
```

can't use CNG. When is that conversion 1 2 expected to take place? 3 Α (Gilbertson) Well, my understanding is that it's supposed to be late May/early June. And 4 5 that was just a very recent finding. I think 6 there was a -- maybe an approval from Safety 7 that they recommended it. But I don't -- it may not, I don't know. 8 But you -- have you contacted the customers 9 10 that need to be converted to schedule these 11 conversions? I mean, they have to be -- shut 12 down their facilities to make that conversion. 13 (Gilbertson) That would not be Energy 14 Procurement, but -- and I can't speak for 15 Engineering or any of the Sales. I don't know. 16 Q The reason I bring this up, if you look at 17 Line 22, in the gallons, you'll see that 18 there's usage in every month. And if you 19 aren't going to convert customers until June or 20 July, it doesn't -- that doesn't seem to make 21 sense. But that's where I was going with that. 22 (Gilbertson) Right. At the time that we put 23 this together, we didn't have that information that it would probably be late May. So, yes. 24

```
1
         There's expected usage in this schedule,
 2
         because at the time we put the schedule
 3
         together we didn't know that.
 4
         Okay. That's fine. Thank you. On Line 29,
    Q
 5
         could you tell us what the total cost of CNG
 6
         is?
 7
                   CMSR. BAILEY: And that's a
         confidential number, correct?
 8
                   MR. FRINK: Oh, correct. Thank you.
9
10
                   MR. SHEEHAN: And it's fine that it's
11
         spoken, and we'll just work with Mr. Patnaude
12
         to make sure it's redacted in the transcript.
13
    BY MR. FRINK:
14
         Let me revise the question. So, looking at
15
         Line 28 -- looking at Line 29, in the "Total"
16
         column, you can see the total CNG costs,
17
         correct?
18
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
19
         And on Line 28, you can see a cost per gallon
    Q
20
         for that CNG?
21
         (Gilbertson) That's right.
22
         And on Line 25 -- well, actually, on Line --
23
         maybe you could tell me, what is the average
24
         per therm cost for the CNG? Where would we
```

```
1
         find that?
         (Gilbertson) Okay. So, the average cost for
 2
    Α
 3
         the CNG, without the demand charge, is ___
 4
         cents, and that is on Line 25. I believe it's
 5
         25, --
 6
         And on Line --
    Q
 7
    Α
         (Gilbertson) -- all the way to the right.
         Right. And on Line 25, that is a "cost per
8
    Q
9
         gallon" is what it says?
10
         (Gilbertson) That's right.
    Α
11
         But that, do you have a cost per therm on this?
12
         (Gilbertson) Actually, the cost per gallon is
13
         __ cents, and then it's converted to therms at
14
         __ cents.
15
         Oh, right. The second one, that's a -- that's
    Q
16
         a confidential --
17
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Those are confidential numbers.
18
    Q
         Numbers?
19
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Right.
20
         So, just without getting into the specific
21
         number, --
22
         (Gilbertson) Yup.
23
         -- on Line 25, there is a cost per gallon.
         you have the cost per therm?
24
```

```
1 A (Gilbertson) It's right to the right of it.
```

- Q Okay. Thank you. If you weren't dispatching
- 3 CNG this summer, what supplies would you be
- 4 using as an alternative?
- 5 A (Gilbertson) Propane.
- 6 Q And looking at this schedule, what propane,
- 7 because you actually have a number of propane
- 8 subheadings, what propane would you be using as
- 9 an alternative to the CNG deliveries on this
- 10 exhibit?
- 11 A (Gilbertson) Spot.
- 12 Q Spot. And referring to Line 39, there is --
- the last two numbers in that row, one is the
- price per gallon versus -- and one is the price
- per therm, is that correct?
- 16 A (Gilbertson) Yes.
- 17 | Q And when you compare the spot purchase propane
- 18 price, per therm price, --
- 19 A (Gilbertson) Uh-huh.
- 20 Q -- on the Line 39, with the spot CNG per therm
- 21 price, which one is -- how do those compare?
- 22 A (Gilbertson) The CNG per unit is less than the
- per unit of propane, unless -- until you add
- the demand charge.

1 Q And you are seeking to recover demand costs in this cost of service?

A (Gilbertson) Correct. Correct.

Q So, the price customers will be paying for the use of the CNG this summer is a higher price per therm than the alternative supply, which is

7 the spot purchases of propane?

A (Gilbertson) Right. So, and I did address this in the testimony, that with CNG, the cost of -the overall cost of gas per therm for sendout, it doesn't include the injection costs, you know, those are other things that go into the rate, but just for the sendout gallons is, with CNG, is \$1.07, \$1.07 for the whole portfolio.

If you pull the CNG out completely, the overall cost of propane or therms for the portfolio is

\$1.06. There is -- it's a little higher.

And I have that if -- I figured you'd ask.

So, if you -- and it is addressed in the testimony. If you want that, I have that for you.

Q Thank you. I appreciate your being prepared for that question. But I do believe this was an issue that was in your winter cost of gas

```
1
         filing for Keene, you included CNG rates in
 2
         your original filing?
 3
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
 4
         And those rates were significantly higher than
    Q
 5
         spot propane, the price of the spot propane
 6
         purchases, is that correct?
 7
         (Gilbertson) I actually don't remember what the
    Α
 8
         differential was.
         And Staff filed testimony, and do you recall
9
10
         what Staff's position was on that?
11
         (Gilbertson) I believe we took it out for the
    Α
12
         CNG.
13
                Ultimately, the Company was not able to
         Right.
14
         do the conversion of the customers. And so, it
15
         was basically a nonissue?
16
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
17
         But Staff, prior to that -- the determination
    Q
18
         that the Company wasn't going to go forward
19
         with the CNG, subject to check, was that
20
         ratepayers shouldn't be paying for an
21
         uneconomic dispatch of CNG?
22
         (Gilbertson) I believe that was the position,
23
         yes.
24
                Thank you. And although in this filing,
         Okay.
```

1 basically we're seeing the same thing, although 2 with a much smaller discrepancy between the 3 average cost of CNG and the average cost of spot propane purchases? 4 5 (Gilbertson) Correct. 6 MR. FRINK: Okay. That's all I have 7 on that. Thank you. BY MS. SCHWARZER: 8 Just a follow-up question to direct the witness 9 10 to the testimony that was submitted originally. 11 And I know these numbers are going to be 12 updated. But, on Page 10 of your testimony, 13 there's a question about the inclusion of the 14 demand for CNG in comparison to propane. And 15 at that time, you were using a 20 percent, 16 you're including the demand charge for CNG? 17 (Gilbertson) Yes. Α 18 Q When all those costs were factored in in your 19 testimony, the answer is that the CNG is 20 approximately ___ cents more than propane per 21 therm. Is that correct? 22 (Gilbertson) Yes, because you're only using a 23 small percentage of the portfolio. The volumes 24 are small, and you're dividing the demand

```
1
         charge into a small number. As that's
 2
         socialized across the whole portfolio, that
 3
         cost will diminish. And the moral of the story
         is that, if you pull it out completely, it's a
 4
 5
         penny difference. And that's what we just
 6
         talked about.
 7
         I did hear you talking about that. And my
         understanding is that the ___ cents difference,
 8
         right now you've been -- you're pursuing a
9
10
         Phase 1 conversion with a small amount.
11
         (Gilbertson) Uh-huh. Yes.
    Α
12
         Correct?
    Q
13
         (Gilbertson) Yes. Absolutely.
14
         So, do you anticipate that, for the coming cost
15
         of gas period, that the difference in price
16
         will be approximately ___ cents?
17
         (Gilbertson) I can't -- oh, you mean for the
18
         one that we're going to submit? Yes. Because
19
         I think it's all relative, because Algonquin
20
         city gate went down as well. Is that what you
21
         mean?
22
         No, I don't mean -- for the next six months,
23
         dealing with a small CNG volume, do you expect
24
         the difference in the propane cost to be ____
```

```
1
         cents?
         (Gilbertson) I expect that -- you're talking
 2
    Α
 3
         about for the upcoming summer period.
         Yes.
 4
    Q
 5
         (Gilbertson) And what do I expect that that
 6
         would hold for the whole period, is that what
 7
         you're saying?
         Well, just looking at your testimony, I did
 8
    Q
         hear you mention the "one penny" difference.
9
10
         But, in your testimony, it was that the
         difference was going to be "__ cents", I think.
11
12
         (Gilbertson) Okay. I think there's some
    Α
         confusion.
13
14
    0
         Okay.
15
    Α
         (Gilbertson) The _ cents is if I looked at just
16
         that segment of the portfolio that is CNG, and
17
         include that demand charge.
18
    Q
         Correct.
19
         (Gilbertson) It's not big, but it weighs
    Α
20
         heavily on a small volume. So, as the volumes
21
         increase, that number is fixed. So, it will
22
         diminish. And as we take on more and more and
         expand more and more, that __ cents will become
23
24
         less and less and less. Does that help?
```

```
1
    Q
         I think I understand, and you talk about the
 2
         volume on the last page of your testimony. But
 3
         my understanding is, for this summer period,
 4
         you don't expect the volume to increase
 5
         significantly.
 6
         (Gilbertson) Oh, no. Oh, agreed. Agreed.
    Α
 7
         Yes.
         So, for this next six-month period, the
 8
    Q
9
         difference is closer to ___ cents than one
10
         cent?
11
         (Gilbertson) Yes. Yes.
12
         Correct?
    Q
13
         (Gilbertson) But, if we pull it all out, it
14
         would be kind of flat. It's not that much of a
15
         difference.
16
    Q
         So, I understand your answer to be that it's
17
         not apples-to-apples. It's dependent on that
18
         small segment versus the entire supply?
19
         (Gilbertson) Right. Because once we pull it
    Α
20
         out, I mean, if we pulled it out, it would
21
         be served with propane, and --
22
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
23
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
24
          (Gilbertson) If we pull out the CNG customers,
```

1 as we've done, that load would be served by 2 spot propane, which is more in the unit cost of 3 the CNG less the demand charge. 4

34

BY MS. SCHWARZER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm not sure if this question is better for Ms. Gilbertson or for Ms. McNamara. So, I'll just ask both of you.

Could you compare last year's broker rate/broker's fee with this year's forecasted fee, and explain that variance please? I think that appears in Schedule C. I'm looking at the exhibit -- Exhibit 5.

(Gilbertson) Okay. So, Schedule C is the spot prices, how they're determined. And so, what we do in the summer is we reach out to local suppliers, and we say -- ask them what they expect their costs of propane is going to be. And then we -- what we do is we try to determine, based on that answer, and that would be in Column (8), they tell us what their per gallon is going to be over the summer. We try to back that into some known components to build this table. I'm not, honestly, a fan of this table.

35

What we do know is that we know what Mont
Belvieu is. And we know that the broker fee,
and this was subject to a lot of discussion

last time in the summer, where I may have inadvertently made that a bigger number as I'm

6 trying to back into this table. And I was

7 corrected that over many, many years the broker

fee is always a penny. So, this year, I made

9 it a penny.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I know what the PERC Fee is. I know what Mont Belvieu is. I know what the trucking fees are. And I know what the supplier told me.

So, I've got to make up the difference between what the supplier told me, and I got to back into this table. So, this time -- and I know the broker fee is a penny. So, what I'm determining here is what is the supplier -- what's their cut, and that's going to be in Column (5). Because I know everything else, and I know what the broker -- the suppliers are going to charge.

- Q Can you let me -- explain what services are provided as part of the broker fee?
- 24 A (Gilbertson) It's just a standard fee. I mean,

```
1
         there's somebody who's wheeling and dealing,
 2
         and they're going to make some money. And then
 3
         the supplier is going to make some money. So,
         do I really know what they do? I don't. I
 4
 5
         don't. I just know that there's a standard --
 6
         there's a standard fee.
 7
              And again, I'm not a fan of this table.
         I'm skipping to a different topic, to turn to
 8
    Q
9
         the Propane Purchasing Stabilization Plan.
10
         (Gilbertson) Okay.
    Α
11
         And how did it perform last year? And do you
12
         have any recommendations with regard to the
13
         future?
14
         (Gilbertson) So, if you go to Bates 027, which
15
         is Schedule J-2.
16
    Q
         So, that's Exhibit -- the updated Exhibit 4
17
         [5?].
18
         (Gilbertson) So, this year the Propane
19
         Stabilization Plan, when we put the hedges into
20
         effect, the propane price was higher than when
         the winter -- when we were buying spot.
21
22
         I'm going to ask you to wait while I just find
23
         the right document.
24
          (Gilbertson) Oh. I'm sorry.
```

1 Q I'm sorry. J-2. Thank you. 2 Α (Gilbertson) So, what this schedule shows us is 3 that, for pre-purchased gas or propane, in each 4 of the four months that I had data for, the 5 cost of spot propane you can see in Column (4) 6 was less -- was less expensive than what we 7 paid for the hedge over the summer period. So, in other words, prices went down. So, this 8 9 particular year, we were, I would say, 10 out-of-the-money by 17.6 percent. 11 Does that prompt you to revisit either the Q 12 percentages or the purpose of stabilization or 13 could you speak to --14 (Gilbertson) This is not put in place to make 15 This is put in place to stabilize the money. 16 price. We don't -- we can't predict what's 17 going to happen. And it's, I think, somewhat 18 unusual that summer -- that winter prices are 19 more than what was purchased in the summer. 20 Because, usually, you can get summer gas 21 cheaper. But, in some cases, that's not true. 22 And this is an example of that. So, we have --23 we typically, between our storage gas and the 24 Propane Stabilization Plan, try to have about

```
1
         60 percent hedged, which is just purchased in
 2
         the summer, hoping to levelize the price. And
 3
         then, for the rest of the portfolio, 40 percent
         of the portfolio, we like to go with the spot
 4
 5
         market, just for the very event that we're not
 6
         trying to -- we're not trying to make money
 7
         here, we're just trying to stabilize the price
         and make sure that we're warding against any
 8
         high spikes in the market.
9
10
                   MS. SCHWARZER: I'm going to ask
11
         counsel for Liberty if you could give us a
         status of the CNG implementation?
12
13
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I'd be glad to do that
14
         as part of the closing, if that's okay?
15
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Okay. Thank you.
                                                       No
16
         further questions.
17
                   CMSR. BAILEY:
                                  Thank you.
18
         Commissioner Giaimo.
19
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: Good morning.
20
                   WITNESS McNAMARA: Good morning.
21
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
22
         So, as a matter of clarification, initially
23
         filed, there would be a 2.7 percent decrease
24
         compared to last summer for residential
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

- 1 customers. So that was as initially filed.
- 2 Fast forward to where we are today, and that we
- 3 see a 2.9 percent increase. Does that sound
- 4 right?
- 5 A (McNamara) It does sound correct.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A (McNamara) Yes.
- 8 Q And that's explained on Exhibit 3, correct?
- 9 A (McNamara) Correct.
- 10 Q Okay. So, when I look at the "Lost and
- 11 Unaccounted for Rate Update Impact", and I see
- it's inside parentheses, does that mean then
- there's a credit?
- 14 A (McNamara) Yes.
- 15 | Q Okay. So, there's a credit associated with the
- lost or unaccounted for gas. So, does that
- mean that your initial estimate was wrong?
- 18 | A (McNamara) The initial rate was higher. It
- 19 was -- it was 3.36, \$3.36, and it went to 3.24.
- 20 Q Does that mean you lost less gas than you
- 21 expected?
- 22 A (Gilbertson) Percentage, yes.
- 23 A (McNamara) Yes.
- 24 | Q And then we see the "0.1193" percent increase

```
1
         with -- and that captures the production costs
 2
         resulting from the audit?
 3
    Α
         (McNamara) Correct.
 4
         Okay. Thank you. On Bates 005, you talk
    Q
 5
         about -- the discussion is about the
 6
         over-collection from the prior period. Maybe
 7
         you can just talk us through why there was an
         over-collection. Is that the result of sales
 8
 9
         being down? Is that the result of spot prices
10
         lower than anticipated or forecasted? Is that
11
         a function of no CNG being used? Maybe you
         could just help us understand why there was an
12
13
         over-collection.
14
         (McNamara) To be honest, I don't think I can
15
         answer that right now.
16
    Α
         (Gilbertson) I can answer that.
17
         Okay.
    Q
18
         (Gilbertson) Because, when you bill a customer
19
         and the revenue comes in, you're also watching
20
         what the spot price of the future months is
21
         going to be. And if that price has gone up,
22
         you're not recovering enough. So, you've got
23
         to raise -- if the price is higher, you're not
24
         recovering enough. You've got to match it.
```

41

```
But there's a lag. So, you don't -- by the
 1
 2
         time you're getting your revenue in, you don't
 3
         really know that you didn't get enough. So,
         it's really a balancing act of what is the
 4
 5
         future cost of the product and what are you
 6
         getting in as revenue. And by the end of the
 7
         period, you're not going to be equal. There's
         always going to be an over or under. But we
 8
9
         always give it back or we have to charge it.
10
              Does that help? It's just got to do with
11
         timing.
12
         Okay. Well, it does. So, what would the
    Q
13
         over-collection be a function of? The spot
14
         price being low?
15
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Yes. Yes.
16
    Q
         Okay.
17
         (Gilbertson) If rates are higher, the prices
18
         drop down. So, we collected more, and now
19
         we'll give it back.
20
         Thank you. Okay. That's helpful. Thanks for
21
         explaining that.
22
              Now, moving on to Page 7. And the
23
         question was "does the Company plan to inform
24
         customers about the rate changes?" And then it
```

says "on April 1st" you would let the customers know of the changes.

Did that happen? And if it did, were the numbers provided leading the customer to believe that there would actually be a reduction in costs -- or, reduction in their bill impact, it turns out, in light of these new changes, that it would be an increase?

- A (McNamara) I believe the communication was made. I'm not sure of the detail that were in that communication.
- Q Okay. Traditionally, when -- if and when you post information to your website about a rate change, will it indicate whether or not the rates are going to go up or down?
- A (McNamara) Yes.

Q Okay. But, in this case, you were anticipating, with the initial filing, to see a 2.7 percent decrease in rates. And, in fact, fast forward to today, we're expecting a flip, of actually an increase in that similar amount.

So, my question is, were the customers informed of seeing a savings, that is, in fact, actually not going to be a savings, it's going

1 to be an additional cost, or a different rate, 2 sorry? 3 If you don't know the answer, that's fine. (McNamara) I don't know for sure if that was in 4 Α 5 the preliminary posting on April 1st. 6 Okay. Moving forward one page, I, too, had Q 7 some questions about Attachment J-2, which is discussed at the bottom of Page 8. And my 8 9 question is perhaps similar to Attorney 10 Schwarzer's. 11 So, when we look at J-2, we see -- we see that the spot market, if purchases were just on 12 13 the spot market, we would have seen about a 14 17.6 percent savings as compared to the 15 contractual price? 16 Α (Gilbertson) Well, yes. But, last year, at 17 this time, we were at \$162,000 in the good, I 18 quess. But that's not the point of -- we always try to say that's not the point of what 19 20 we're trying to do. We're not trying to save; 21 we're trying to stabilize price. And yes, it 22 We would have liked to see it the other 23 way. But, yes, 17 percent not in the money. 24 Okay. I'm going to give you an option, the

```
1
         ability to maybe modify that answer. So, you
         said you're not in the business of saving
 2
 3
         money, the objective of this is to avoid
 4
         spikes?
 5
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
 6
         Are those mutually exclusive? Do they need to
    Q
 7
         be mutually exclusive?
    Α
         (Gilbertson) We're trying to stabilize the rate
 8
         for the customer. It's an insurance policy in
9
10
         many ways. So, I think, as a company, we think
11
         we should keep it. We think it's good
12
         practice. We do this in many other regions as
13
         well. I think it's risky to not have this in
14
         place. But, yes, there are times when it's not
15
         going to pay off.
16
    Q
         Okay. And in other areas of the country, and I
17
         presume Canada, do you use a similar 60/40
18
         split?
19
         (Gilbertson) Uh-huh.
                               Yes.
20
         Okay. Okay. And what I'm hearing you say,
21
         what I heard you say and what I thought I heard
22
         you say is traditionally or historically that
23
         number can change. And some years you may see
24
         a 17 percent -- the spot market may be
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
1
         17 percent lower than the hedge, but, in other
 2
         years, it's significantly different. And on
 3
         the whole, you think the 60/40 ratio makes
 4
         sense and helps the consumers?
 5
         (Gilbertson) Last year, we saved 23 percent.
 6
         Okay. I think we're saying the same thing.
    Q
 7
         So, --
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
8
    Α
9
         -- thank you.
10
         (Gilbertson) Yes. Overall, I think somebody
    Α
11
         had asked me to pull together some years of
         this of how the plan has been responding. This
12
13
         year, when the winter's over, I mean, yes, it
14
         looks like we're going to be like 15 percent,
15
         it's not the 17, it's more like 15 percent
16
         where it wasn't favorable. But, last year, it
17
         was 24 percent favorable, which meant that it
18
         saved the customers $181,000.
19
              The year before that it was favorable, at
20
         16 percent, and we saved the customers $121,000
21
         that year as well. So, we had 81 - 181, 121.
22
         And the year before that was not good.
23
         And I'm not being argumentative. But I think
    Q
```

you see the value of saving --

24

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

- A (Gilbertson) I did my homework.
- 2 | Q No, no. Clearly, you -- I'm sorry, clearly you
- 3 did. And I'm not being argumentative about the
- 4 savings concept.

1

- 5 A (Gilbertson) Oh, okay.
- 6 Q Sometimes you win, sometimes you lose. On the
- 7 | whole, you're looking to --
- 8 A (Gilbertson) Yes.
- 9 Q -- to protect the customer? Okay. I'm not
- going to discuss the details of Page 18,
- 11 Schedule C, because most of that is grayed out.
- But, in the discussion you had earlier, you
- talked about the supplier charges and these
- 14 various charges.
- I'm just wondering, what metrics do you
- have in place or how do you know that the
- charges are consistent, and consistent with
- 18 | what others similarly -- in your similar
- 19 situation would pay?
- 20 A (Gilbertson) Well, we're going to pay what the
- 21 supplier charges. That's what we're going to
- 22 pay. So -- and we're going to search for the
- best price of course. But do I absolutely know
- that the broker fee is a penny? I don't. And

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
1
         I don't know that I would -- I could try to
 2
         find out, but it's just been the same for many,
 3
         many years that they --
 4
         Okay. Do you have a metric that helps give you
    Q
 5
         assurance that you're not being charged
 6
         anything usurious and nothing is being added
 7
         that is not consistent with what --
         (Gilbertson) When it's the spot market, we're
 8
    Α
9
         price-takers. We have to buy what is in the
10
         spot. We can't say "we're not taking that,
11
         because you charge two pennies for the broker
12
         fee", because we don't know anyway. So, we
13
         legitimately search for the best price and go
14
         with that. So, in our case, we take what we
15
         can, you know, what's here.
16
    Q
         Okay. And I'm looking at what has been offered
17
         as -- offered for identification, I guess, but
18
         not an exhibit, 5. And I'm looking at Schedule
19
             And this was prompted as a result of the
         Κ.
20
         discussion you had with Mr. Frink. And when I
21
         look at lines -- Line 27, for each month it's
22
         flat. That's --
23
         (Gilbertson) The demand charge --
24
         The demand charge.
```

```
1
    Α
         (Gilbertson) -- is levelized over --
 2
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
    BY THE WITNESS:
 3
 4
         (Gilbertson) That would be the demand charge.
 5
         It's levelized.
 6
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
 7
         Thanks. Right. And you've levelized it for --
         just that's the best way to do it?
 8
         (Gilbertson) Well, yes, because we actually
9
10
         prorate the demand charge. So, by how much is
         used in the summer and how much is used in the
11
12
         winter, there's a flat annual demand charge.
13
         But we socialize it over the summer lesser,
14
         because we only use 20 percent, because the
15
         volume is only 20 percent in the summer. And
16
         we'll do 80 percent of the demand charge in the
17
         winter, because the throughput is that much
18
         higher. And it just it makes sense.
19
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: Thank you for clearing
20
         that up. That's all the questions I have.
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: I have a follow-up on
22
         that table.
23
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
24
         If you do not use or sell CNG in May, will the
```

```
1
         demand charges have to be spread among the
 2
         remaining five months, instead of six months?
 3
    Α
         (Gilbertson) I don't know how that works.
 4
         Well, you're not going to forgo _____ in
    Q
 5
         demand charges, right?
 6
         (Gilbertson) That would be a question for
    Α
 7
         Finance or Regulatory. I don't know how they
         do that.
 8
         Well, it seems like that's kind of relevant,
9
    Q
10
         because that will change the price per therm of
11
         CNG, won't it?
12
         (Gilbertson) Well, we'll just buy -- we'll buy
    Α
         propane. So, --
13
14
         You'll buy propane for May.
15
    Α
         (Gilbertson) For May.
16
    Q
         And if you have to reallocate that _____
17
         yes, that's a confidential number. So, we'll
18
         just black it out in the testimony. But, if
19
         you have to reallocate the demand, the fixed
20
         demand charge that you have included in May,
21
         when you don't sell --
22
         (Gilbertson) Right.
23
         -- CNG in May, that will have to be spread out
24
         over June through October, and that will make
```

```
1
         the cost of -- the price per therm, when you --
 2
         when you start selling it, assuming you start
 3
         selling it in June, a little bit higher than
 4
         what's shown on this table, right?
 5
         (McNamara) I believe that's how we would --
 6
                         [Court reporter interruption.]
 7
    BY THE WITNESS:
          (McNamara) I believe that's how we would have
 8
9
         to handle it.
10
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Sheehan.
11
                   MR. SHEEHAN: My understanding is
12
         that the rate that we are asking for approval
13
         today assumes we will pay the demand charge as
14
         reflected in that schedule. If we do not sell
15
         CNG, like last year, we would pull out those
16
         demand charges, like in past years, put them in
17
         a deferral account, and the plan is to deal
18
         with those uncollected demand charges in the
19
         rate case we intend to file next year.
20
                   CMSR. BAILEY: So, could you put one
21
         month of demand charges in the deferral account
22
         or the --
23
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Yes.
24
                    CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.
```

```
1
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. So, to answer
 2
         your question, it isn't pulled out of May and
 3
         spread over the rest of the summer. It's
         simply pulled out, --
 4
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay.
 6
                   MR. SHEEHAN: -- and we'll deal with
 7
         it in another way in the rate case.
 8
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Thank you.
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
9
10
         You stated in the testimony that you were
11
         issuing an RFP for the propane purchases, and
12
         you would be awarding that at the end of March.
13
         Did that happen?
14
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
         And can you tell me what the price for it?
15
16
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Well, I can tell you it's very
17
         close to what it was last year.
18
    Q
         So that seems like higher than the futures
19
         prices that you have in this filing?
20
         (Gilbertson) So, what the -- for the Price
    Α
21
         Stabilization Plan, what they do is they give
22
         you a basis price. So, instead of -- instead
23
         of all the components of, say, trucking and
24
         pipeline and supplier fees, they give you a
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Gilbertson|McNamara]

```
fixed number.
 1
 2
    Q
         Uh-huh.
         (Gilbertson) And what we do is we can kind of
 3
    Α
         compare it to all those other numbers to see if
 4
 5
         it's a good basis price. And we send out the
 6
         RFP to, I think -- I believe we sent it out to
 7
         12 suppliers this year, and we take the best
 8
         one.
         Would it be better to issue the RFP in summer,
9
10
         when the prices are lower, and there's not as
11
         much --
12
         (Gilbertson) Well, they buy it over the summer.
13
         So, you have to get it out early, because
14
         they're buying it like now. They start April.
15
         They buy a little piece of November, December,
16
         January, February. They buy incrementally
17
         throughout the summer for the winter. So,
18
         they're purchasing it now.
         Okay. But what I think I understood is that
19
    Q
20
         the price that you got, as a result of the RFP,
21
         seems to be a price that's higher than the spot
22
         market price you expect to pay during the
23
         summer?
24
          (Gilbertson) They're totally exclusive of each
```

```
1
         other. That when we ask the suppliers to give
 2
         us a price for the summer, it's for the summer.
 3
         The Propane Stabilization Plan, different,
 4
         very -- it's a separate thing. So, what
 5
         they -- we send out an RFP for that. And then
 6
         they come back and they will say "We'll give
 7
         you this for a basis." So, it would be Mont
         Belvieu, plus this. That's it. And that will
 8
9
         be the price of your --
10
         And they give you the Mont Belvieu price, plus
    Q
11
         their add-ons?
12
         (Gilbertson) Yes.
    Α
13
         Oh. So, it's not a fixed price?
14
         (Gilbertson) No. No, no. It's not. It is
15
         Mont Belvieu, yes.
16
    Q
         Okay.
17
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Oh, yes. That makes a big
18
         difference.
19
         That's where I was going. Okay. Thank you.
    Q
20
         The last line of questioning I have goes to the
21
         discussion you were having with Attorney
22
         Schwarzer about the number on Bates Page 010 in
23
         the testimony.
24
              And this part of the testimony is
```

```
1
         comparing the CNG price with the spot propane
 2
         price projected to be a number that's
 3
         confidential. And the spot propane price is
         not changed by the production costs, correct?
 4
 5
         (Gilbertson) Correct.
 6
         But, when you compare the price of CNG to the
    Q
 7
         price of propane, you're comparing including
 8
         the production costs, is that right?
9
    Α
         (Gilbertson) Say that again.
10
         When you compare the cost of CNG per therm with
    Q
11
         the cost of propane per therm, does the cost of
12
         propane per therm include the production costs?
13
         (Gilbertson) No.
14
         Okay.
15
    Α
         (Gilbertson) No. That's separate.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Thank you.
17
         That's all I have.
18
                   Mr. Sheehan, do you have any
19
         follow-up?
20
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Actually, no. Thank
21
         you.
22
                   CMSR. BAILEY: All right. I think
23
         you can stay where you are.
24
                   Without objection, we'll strike ID on
```

```
Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4. And we're going to
 1
         reserve Exhibit 6 and 7. And they will be
 2
 3
         filed by the end of the week.
 4
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
 5
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. All right.
 6
         Ms. Schwarzer, do you want to sum up for us
 7
         please?
 8
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Certainly.
                                                Thank
 9
         you.
10
                   Staff supports the filing with
11
         additional clarification as requested and
12
         agreed to during this morning's proceeding.
13
         Specifically, we appreciated additional
14
         information the Company made available last
15
         Friday, and the updated information made
16
         available today in Exhibit 5 for
17
         identification.
18
                   On a very high level, the rates
19
         appear to have been calculated in a manner
20
         consistent with past practice, which is
21
         reassuring. However, there's additional
22
         information Staff looks forward to obtaining in
23
         a future technical session, specifically, a
```

{DG 19-068} [REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use] {04-23-19}

detailed accounting and description of Keene

24

production costs, those defined as "cost of gas" costs and those defined as "production" -- and those production costs defined as "delivery" costs, including adjustments to the test year revenue requirement calculations as approved with that detail in docket DG 17-048 rate filing.

We request to hold a future technical session to be scheduled in late May or early

June on that information with Liberty. As well as we were -- I understand counsel will speak to this in his closing, but we have questions about the CNG future long-term and short-term plans and implementation, the conversion plans in particular.

We anticipate that that will occur before the end of June 28th, 2019. And in particular, with regard to the CNG, the Summer COG filing projects CNG costs above that of the alternative supply costs available to Keene customers, and therefore it is not economical to dispatch.

Staff filed testimony in last
Winter's Keene COG filing, recommending that

CNG costs above the cost of alternative supplies should not be recovered from ratepayers, and our position has not changed.

If Liberty goes forward with its plan to use CNG this summer, and the 2019 CNG cost exceeds what the cost of alternative supplies would have been, the incremental costs should not be recovered from ratepayers. Staff asks the Commission to order -- excuse me -- Staff asks the Commission order approving the 2019 Keene Summer Cost of Gas rates either adjust this issue as recommended by Staff, or, as an alternative, Staff will recommend a disallowance of these costs in next summer's COG.

Thank you.

CMSR. BAILEY: Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. Just briefly, going back to the customer notice issue. It's the Company's practice to notify customers that we have proposed a rate for the upcoming COG, and it's made explicit that it is subject to change. And then, once the order comes out, then we publish the actual rates.

So, the customers are on notice that there may be some flexibility. And that's the routine for all of these proposed rate changes that we file.

The update for CNG, as the Commission is aware, the regulatory delay on CNG most recently was working with -- the Company working with the Safety Division to get the Safety Division's report finding the Company's plans to be "adequate". And that's from the order in 17-068. That recommendation from the Safety Division came out a couple weeks ago. And there are two lingering issues there.

The first is, it's the Company's position that the Commission need not act. My reading of the order is that, once the Safety Division says "okay", we are free to go. However, for very understandable reasons, Staff, and I did speak with Ms. Fabrizio on this, wasn't sure about that, and effectively said "Sit tight till we clarify that".

The Company's preference, frankly, would be a secretarial letter from the Commission saying "We received the report.

Everything is good." Just to make sure there's no misunderstanding. The worst-case scenario would be for us to charge forward and have someone say "you acted prematurely".

The other loose end from that docket is, if you recall, an intervenor, Mr. Clark, represented by Mr. Husband, was challenging the initial finding in the order that Liberty has the right to serve natural gas in Keene. He was let in late. Filed a Motion for Reconsideration of that finding. It was briefed a year ago, and it has never been ordered. So, in fact, that issue is still lingering. And again, would be a reason for us not to charge ahead, if for some reason the Commission were to grant Mr. Clark's Motion for Rehearing.

So, from the Company's perspective, we are waiting. We'd appreciate confirmation or a ruling on the Motion for Rehearing, and confirmation that the Commission received the Safety Division's report, and it satisfied the Commission and the order.

Assuming all that happens, as I heard

1 someone testify, the expectation is that we would convert the customers in the so-called 2 3 Monadnock Marketplace, which is a defined part 4 of the system, that has also been planned for a conversion first. And it's specifically 5 6 delineated in the Safety Division's report, 7 that the recommendation is as to that piece of the system. And, of course, that's the piece 8 9 of the system, the so-called "high pressure" 10 piece that we've been seeking to convert for 11 some time, so that we can close down the 12 troublesome blowers. 13 The expectation is to do those 14 customers this summer. Ms. Gilbertson heard 15 "May". I have not been privy to any particular

The expectation is to do those customers this summer. Ms. Gilbertson heard "May". I have not been privy to any particular dates. The Chief Engineer, Mr. Furey, asked me yesterday "have we heard anything on these lingering issues" that I just mentioned to you. They're waiting to line up contractors, to notify the customers. So, that's the expectation, to happen this summer, as far as the CNG conversion.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As the Commission is generally aware, we have a phased plan for building out Keene,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

converting them to natural gas, and expanding over a number of years. And the first piece of that is we have to build a permanent CNG/LNG facility. What we have ready to go now is what is called a "temporary facility" on Production Avenue. In order to build the permanent facility, we need a certain amount of area, physical area to build it.

Production Avenue is suitable, although it has wetlands issues. As part of getting a Wetlands Permit on Production Avenue, DES requires us to look for alternate sites. We have examined many alternate sites over the last 18 months. And some are very promising; some not so much. And we are finishing that process to either choose one of those alternate sites to build the permanent facility, or it may turn out that we end up back at Production Avenue as the best site. That decision is being made, as I understand, now, this spring. With the expectation we will then start the permitting process for that site, whichever one it turns out to be. And that would be what would occupy 2019 as the permitting of the site

for the permanent facility, with the expectation that construction begins next summer. And once the facility is constructed, then we can actually start doing the expansion as contemplated by the recent order in 17-048.

So, that's the rough timeframe. Of course, we will keep Staff and the Safety
Division up-to-date as to each of these steps as we go along. We have to meet certain customer commitments under the 17-048 order before we expand. Certainly, the Safety
Division will want to look at where we are expanding and how we are expanding, and we will work with them.

But that's the plan. So, hopefully, by 18 months from now, we are working towards connecting more customers to CNG/LNG.

Last, on the Staff's position in this case, that we should not recover the costs, the extra costs for CNG, we strongly object. The Commission has approved the Company to convert customers to natural gas. It's been part of our plan since we acquired Keene. It's in the long-term benefit for all Keene customers.

Natural gas is a better fuel. The propane/air system has to shut down in a few years. The plant in Keene is on land that we do not control. The lease is up in a few years. We have to be off that site. So, we have to convert away from propane, and our plan is to do that.

Certainly, there will be transition costs. And one of those transition costs may be times when CNG is more expensive than propane. That is not a reason to disallow those costs. It would be imprudent for us to only be able to expand to CNG when it is cheaper.

approving our proposal to convert to CNG, the Commission agrees that the long-term, best way to go is to convert Keene away from propane/air to natural gas. And we cannot micromanage each step, asking if today it's cheaper or not to do that. The decision has been made, the commitment has been made, and we have to start the process. We think it's inappropriate to disallow any CNG costs that may be a penny more

1 on any given year than the next. 2 So, for those reasons, we ask that 3 you approve the cost of gas rate as proposed, which includes a portfolio of CNG and propane. 4 5 With the understanding that if, for some 6 reason, we don't convert to CNG, those costs 7 will be pulled out, as they have been in the 8 past. And as in my colloquy with Ms. Bailey, if, for some reason, that CNG doesn't start 9 10 flowing until August, there will be an 11 appropriate adjustment as well. 12 So, with that, I thank you for your 13 time. 14 CMSR. BAILEY: All right. Thank you. 15 With that, we'll close the hearing, leave the 16 record open for Exhibits 6 and 7. Take the 17 matter under advisement and issue an order as 18 soon as possible. Thank you. 19 (Whereupon the hearing was 20 adjourned at 11:24 a.m.) 21 22 23 24